

I wonder why we have an FCC since it allows broadcasters to air only one side of a story. I thought it was a requirement in the licensing procedure that broadcasters were to air both sides of a story so the public could make up their own mind instead of being brainwashed. Isn't freedom of press one of the things our troops are fighting for?

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.